

## REMARKS

The undersigned wishes to thank the Examiner, Mr. Robert Scheibel, for the courtesies shown him in course of telephone interviews on April 4, 2006. During the course of the interviews, certain agreements were reached which are made of record herein below.

Claims 1, 3 and 6 to 12 remain in the application. With the entry of this amendment, claims 1, 10 and 12 are amended, and claims 13 and 14 are cancelled. The indication by the Examiner that claim 12 is directed to allowable subject matter is noted with appreciation.

Turning first to the claim objections set out in paragraphs 4 and 5 on pages 4 and 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the phrase on line 11 saying that it should be amended to be “identification data and a global domain name”. The Examiner is correct. The omission of the word “and” was a typographical error which has been corrected by this amendment. The Examiner further stated that the phrase “for every ID specifying a telephone” on line 4 (not line 11 as the Examiner indicated) is unclear. As agreed to in the telephone interview with Mr. Scheibel, this amendment changes the phrase to –for each ID corresponding to each telephone in said plurality of telephones-. Finally, the Examiner stated that the phrase “said ID is common to telephones” on line 10 is unclear. By this amendment, the phrase has been amended to be “said ID for each telephone” which is believed to make the phrase clear. Therefore, with these amendments to claim 12, it is submitted that claim 12 is in condition for immediate allowance.

Concerning claims 13 and 14, it was agreed that these claims should be canceled to place the application in condition for allowance.

The Examiner, in his statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter in paragraph 4 on page 10 of the Office Action, stated that “the references do not disclose the limitations that (a) the ID includes identification data and a global domain name registered on the Internet, and the identification data of said ID includes a user identification section and an extension telephone

number identification section for said extension telephone number of said telephone, and (b) that said registration request message includes said ID, in combination with the other limitations of claim 12.” Claims 1 and 10 have been amended to include these limitations. More specifically, it was agreed in the interview to amend each of claims 1 and 10 to recite –wherein said control circuit, in response to a registration request message including one of said IDs, extracts the identification information from said one of said IDs received via the Internet–. Therefore, it is submitted that the remaining claims 1 and 10 and those claims dependent thereon are also now in condition for immediate allowance.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that this amendment be entered, the application be reconsidered, that claims 1, 3 and 6 to 12 be allowed, and that the application be passed to issue.

Should the Examiner find the application to be other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the local telephone number listed below to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a telephonic or personal interview.

A provisional petition is hereby made for any extension of time necessary for the continued pendency during the life of this application. Please charge any fees for such provisional petition and any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Attorney’s Deposit Account No. 50-2041.

Respectfully submitted,



C. Lamont Whitham  
Reg. No. 22,424

Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, P.C.  
11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340  
Reston, VA 20190

Tel. (703) 787-9400  
Fax. (703) 787-7557

Customer No.: 30743